	Case 2:22-cv-02147-WBS-AC Docume	nt 9	Filed 12/08/22	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	00000			
11				
12	TRACY HØEG, M.D., Ph.D.; RAM DURISETI, M.D., Ph.D.; AARON		No. 2:22-cv	-01980 WBS AC
13 14	KHERIATY, M.D.; PETE MAZOLEWSKI, M.D.; and AZADEH KHATIBI, M.D., M.S., M.P.H.,			
15	Plaintiffs,			
16	v.		ORDER RELAT	ING CASES
17	GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor of the State of California, in his			
18	official capacity; KRISTINA LAWSON, President of the			
19	Medical Board of California, ir her official capacity; RANDY	ı		
20	HAWKINS, M.D., Vice President of the Medical Board of			
21	California, in his official capacity; LAURIE ROSE LUBIANO,			
22	Secretary of the Medical Board of California, in her official			
23	capacity; MICHELLE ANNE BHOLAT, M.D., M.P.H., DAVID E. RYU,	,		
24	RYAN BROOKS, JAMES M. HEALZER, M.D., ASIF MAHMOOD, M.D.,			
25	NICOLE A. JEONG, RICHARD E. THORP, M.D., VELING TSAI, M.D.,			
26	and ESERICK WATKINS, members of the Medical Board of			
27	California, in their official capacities; and ROB BONTA,			
28	Attorney General of California,	<u>. </u>		
		_		

Case 2:22-cv-02147-WBS-AC Document 9 Filed 12/08/22 Page 2 of 3

No. 2:22-cv-02147 DAD AC

in his official capacity;

2 Defendants.

3

4

5

6

7

1

LETRINH HOANG, D.O.; PHYSICIANS FOR INFORMED CONSENT, a not-for profit organization; and CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE, CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, a California Nonprofit

Corporation;

v.

8

10

11

12

13

Plaintiffs,

9

ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; and ERIKA CALDERON, in her official capacity as Executive Officer of the Osteopathic Medical Board of California;

14

Defendants.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

----00000----

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a), because the cases involve similar constitutional challenges to the same California statute, AB 2098. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to

2

Case 2:22-cv-02147-WBS-AC Document 9 Filed 12/08/22 Page 3 of 3

whom the first filed action was assigned. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated Hoeg v. Newsom, 2:22-cv-01980 WBS AC, and Hoang v. Bonta, 2:22-cv-02147 DAD AC, be, and the same hereby are, deemed related. The case denominated Hoang v. Bonta, 2:22-cv-02147 DAD AC, shall be reassigned to Judge WILLIAM B. SHUBB. Any dates currently set in the reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as Hoang v. Bonta, 2:22-cv-02147 WBS AC. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make an appropriate adjustment in the assignment of cases to

compensate for this reassignment.

Dated: December 7, 2022

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE